

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/044,271	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen J. Stein	1775	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Stephen J. Stein (Examiner).

(3) _____.

 (2) Wei-Te Chung (Applicants' Representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 January 2006
Time: 9:30 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

7

Prior art documents discussed:

US 2003/0123167 A1 (Kolberg et al.)

US 6,798,553 (Scobey)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' Representative was telephoned after receipt of the Notice of Allowance and informed that while independent claims 4-6 were felt to be allowable over the prior art of record, after a reevaluation of independent claim 7, the claim was felt to be obvious over Scobey (already of record) in view of Kilberg. Applicants agreed to cancel claim 7 by Examiner's Amendment to bring the instant application to issue. .